Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 187

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

Anderson v. Dike	405
Personal injury; whether trial court improperly granted motion for summary judg- ment; whether plaintiff failed to meet burden of demonstrating existence of genu-	
ine issue of material fact; failure of plaintiff to offer any evidence in opposition	
to motion for summary judgment that could properly be considered at summary	
judgment; claim that trial court improperly denied motions for jury trial and appointment of counsel; whether court-appointed counsel is available in civil pro-	
ceedings. Boucher v. Saint Francis GI Endoscopy, LLC	422
Employment discrimination; whether trial court improperly granted motion for	444
summary judgment; whether trial court properly determined that there were no genuine issues of material fact as to whether plaintiff presented prima facie case	
of employment discrimination or retaliation; claim that trial court improperly concluded that plaintiff failed to demonstrate adverse employment action by defendant; whether plaintiff established genuine issue of material fact as to	
whether defendant intentionally created intolerable work atmosphere that forced her to quit involuntarily to support claim of constructive discharge.	
Buie v . Commissioner of Correction	414
Habeas corpus; claim that habeas court improperly determined that petitioner	
received effective assistance from prior habeas counsel and criminal trial counsel; whether petitioner established that he was prejudiced as result of allegedly deficient performance by criminal trial counsel or prior habeas counsel.	
Designs for Health, Inc. v. Miller	1
Contracts; whether trial court improperly granted motion to dismiss; whether trial	
court improperly concluded that it lacked personal jurisdiction over defendant;	
whether plaintiff met its burden to make prima facie showing that trial court	
had personal jurisdiction over defendant; whether plaintiff submitted evidence,	
which if credited by trier of fact, was sufficient to establish that defendant had	
signed electronically subject agreement containing forum selection clause.	
Oubinsky v. Reich	255
Legal malpractice; motion to dismiss; subject matter jurisdiction; absolute immunity; claim that trial court improperly concluded that defendants were entitled	
to absolute immunity; whether complaint was grounded on any conduct by defendant attorney in which she acted outside role of statutory (§ 46b-54) court-	
appointed guardian ad litem for plaintiff's minor child; claim that granting	
absolute immunity to guardians ad litem is contrary to public policy.	
Fitzgerald v. Bridgeport	301
Injunction; action seeking injunctive relief to prevent defendants from making appointments to position of police captain based on results of police captain	
examination; whether trial court properly granted motion to dismiss counter- claim for lack of subject matter jurisdiction on basis that defendant failed to	
exhaust administrative remedies; claim that there was no reason to appeal to	
defendant Civil Service Commission because defendant was not aggrieved by	
determination that he was eligible to take captain's examination; claim that	
defendant lacked necessary qualifications to sit for captain examination; claim	
that because city council had not approved increase in number of lieutenant	
positions from twenty-one to twenty-two, defendant's seniority was calculated	
on improper basis; whether trial court property concluded that defendant did not	
meet eligibility requirements for captain examination and should not have been	
permitted to take examination; whether claim of error in selection by commission of date on which vacancy in rank of captain occurred was subject to exhaustion	
requirement; whether policies underlying exhaustion doctrine would be best	
served by requiring defendant to bring challenge to date of vacancy before com-	
mission; whether defendant as municipal employee candidate for promotion	
to captain possessed specific, personal and legal interest in date establishing	
1 1	

candidates' eligibility for captain examination; aggrievement; claim that trial court improperly concluded that twenty-second lieutenant position was not legally established under city charter; whether commission lacked authority to increase number of lieutenants; whether plain language of charter required that city council establish new lieutenant position; claim that even if trial court properly determined that twenty-second lieutenant position was not legally established under charter, trial court's conclusion that defendant was ineligible to sit for captain examination constituted improper sanction of illegal appointment.	100
Ham v. Commissioner of Correction Habeas corpus; whether habeas corpus abused its discretion in denying petition for certification to appeal; claim that prosecutor failed to disclose material exculpatory evidence concerning police witness; claim that prior habeas counsel rendered ineffective assistance that was prejudicial to petitioner by failing to pursue claims that petitioner's criminal trial counsel rendered ineffective assistance that was prejudicial to petitioner.	160
Hodges v. Commissioner of Correction	394
Hoffkins v. Hart-D'Amato	227
Hospital Media Network, LLC v. Henderson	40
Jacobson v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	901 375
Norris v. Trumbull. Negligence; whether trial court properly denied motion to dismiss on ground of sovereign immunity; claim that trial court improperly determined that role of defendant regional educational service center in supervising students committed to its care and custody was municipal function not shielded by doctrine of sovereign immunity; claim that defendant acted as agent of state when overseeing care and safety of children enrolled in its schools and programs; whether criteria for determining when entity properly can assert sovereign immunity defense weighed against concluding that defendant acted as arm of state with respect to any duty it may have had to supervise minor plaintiff; whether enabling legislation demonstrated that defendant was not created by statute (§ 10-66a et seq.);	201

whether statutory language supported conclusion that legislature intended for entities like defendant to be treated like state agent for all purposes; whether defendant was financially dependent on state; whether record indicated that state had any direct oversight or control over defendant, its property or its operations other than to conduct annual audit of finances and evaluation of programs and services; whether judgment against defendant would have direct adverse effect on state.	
State v. Bethea	263
State v. Hanisko	237
State v. Joseph B	106
State v. Santiago	350
State v. Stephenson	20

State v. Williams	333
ful in entering dwelling; whether evidence was sufficient to show that defendant took substantial step toward unlawfully entering dwelling; whether proof that defendant or one of his cohorts intended to commit felony against individual in dwelling was legally sufficient where state charged defendant as principal and not as accessory.	
Truskauskas v. Zoning Board of Appeals	150
Villages, LLC v. Longhi	132
Watson Real Estate, LLC v. Woodland Ridge, LLC	282
Wood v. Rutherford	61